

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/746,219	12/22/2000	Diego Carmello	CARP-0087	1142
7590 05/04/2004			EXAMINER	
Woodcock Washburn Kurtz			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, P			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/746,219	CARMELLO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725	_				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repoly within the statutory minimum of thirty (at will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	·-·						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) <u>7-34</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	f are: a) \square accepted or b) \square G e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0: Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/01</u>. 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/746,219

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 7-34 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 7-34 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hershkowitz et al. (US Pat. 5,883,138).

Hershkowitz et al. discloses a metallic monolith catalyst in which the fluid flow is parallel to the catalyst axis. The catalytically active metal may be in a variety of forms, one being a monolith. Figure 3 demonstrates the irregular shape of the cell perimeter. (abstract, figures, col. 3, lines 24-27, col. 4, lines 65-67, col. 5, lines 20-35, col. 7, lines 40-67, col. 8, lines 59-61, col. 9, col. 10, lines 12-58, col. 11, lines 14-26, col. 12, lines 1-3)

Application/Control Number: 09/746,219

Art Unit: 1725

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hershkowitz et al., as stated above and further in view of Matros et al. (US Pat. 6,314,722).

Hershkowitz et al. demonstrates the irregular shape of the cell perimeter, but not regular shapes such as, square, triangular, hexagonal or circular.

Matros et al. discloses a monolith catalyst, which has a square, circular, cylinder, or ring shape. (abstract, figures, col. 2, lines 1-5, 37-38, col. 3, lines 35-67, col. 4, lines 35-46, col. 5, lines 1-5, col. 9, lines 49-67, col. 10, lines 1-29)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use different cell shapes, as taught by Matros et al. in the Hershkowitz et al. monolith catalytic system because different cell shapes allow the flow conditions and hence reaction kinetics to be tailored to the required process.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 3, 2004.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER